

Charge Density Wave Behaviour of the Integer Quantum Hall Effect Edge States.

M. Franco and L. Brey

*Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales (CSIC). Universidad Autónoma de Madrid C-12, Cantoblanco,
28049, Madrid, Spain.*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We analyze the effect that the Coulomb interaction has on the edge excitations of an electron gas confined in a bar of thickness W , and in presence of a magnetic field corresponding to filling factor 1 Quantum Hall effect. We find that the long-range interaction between the edges leads the system to a ground state with a quasi-long range order, similar to a Charge Density Wave. The spectral density of states vanishes at zero frequency, and increases with frequency faster than any power law, being the conductance of a infinite long system zero.

PACS number 73.40.Hm, 72.15.Nj

Edge states play a very important role in the understanding of the Quantum Hall Effect (QHE). [1] Some properties of the integer QHE can be understood from the one-electron picture of the edge states [2], but at the moment the edge structure in the fractional QHE regime is unclear. [3] Due to the one-dimensional (1D) character of the QHE edge states, it has been proposed that they behave as a Fermi liquid in the integer case and as a Luttinger liquid in the fractional case. [4,5] In these works, it is assumed short range electron-electron interaction and therefore it is also neglected the interaction between outgoing and ingoing edge states. However, in order to obtain high mobility electron samples, any metallic gate in the actual physical systems must be far away from the plane where the two-dimensional

electron gas (2DEG) lives, and therefore the Coulomb interaction between electrons is practically unscreened .

In this paper we study the effect that the Coulomb interaction has on the properties of the edge states of an electron gas confined in a bar of thickness W , in the case of QHE at filling factor 1. Our main conclusion is that the long-range Coulomb interaction between edges leads the system to a state characterized by a quasi-long-range order, similar to an 1D charge density wave (CDW) state. We obtain that the charge-charge correlation function along the edge direction (y) presents oscillations with period $2\pi/W$ which decay slower than any power law of y . (Along this work we take the magnetic length, ℓ , as the unit length and e^2/ℓ as the unit of energy). We find that the spectral density of states is zero at zero frequency (ω), and increases with ω faster than any power law. Also we find that this system has not a well defined Fermi surface, although the derivate of the occupations at wavevector $W/2$ tends to infinity with the thickness of the electron bar. Due to the quasi-long-range order, the conductance of a infinity long system is zero, but we find that for realistic finite systems it depends strongly on the length and thickness of the bar.

Since we are dealing with a strong magnetic field (B) phenomenon, and we are interested in edge excitations with smaller energy than the Zeeman and cyclotron energy, we only consider states in the lowest Landau level and with the spin parallel to B. In the Landau gauge the Hamiltonian has the form,

$$H = \sum_k \epsilon_0(k) c_k^+ c_k + \frac{1}{2L_y L_x} \sum_{k, k', \vec{q}} v(q) e^{-q^2/2} e^{iq_x(k-k'+q_y)} c_k^+ c_{k'}^+ c_{k'+q_y} c_{k-q_y} . \quad (1)$$

Here c_k^+ creates an electron with wave function $\frac{1}{\sqrt{L_y \pi^{1/2}}} e^{iky} e^{-(x-k)^2/2}$, L_x and L_y are the system dimensions and $v(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the Coulomb interaction. The first term in equation (1) is the confinement potential and it is choosen to be the created by a fictitious positive background which cancels the electronic charge corresponding to get occupied all the electron states with $-W/2 < k < W/2$. Assuming translational invariance in the y direction, the Hartree-Fock (HF) solution of this Hamiltonian is

$$|\Psi_{HF}\rangle = \prod_{-W/2 < k < W/2} c_k^\dagger |\emptyset\rangle . \quad (2)$$

This solution coincides with the exact solution for large values of W . [6,7] The charge density excitations (CDE) of the ground state described by $|\Psi_{HF}\rangle$, have the form [7]

$$|\Psi_q^i\rangle = \sum_k \alpha_q^i(k) c_{k+q}^\dagger c_k |\Psi_{HF}\rangle , \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_q^i(k)$ are obtained by minimizing the energy of the CDE, $\hbar\omega_i(q) = \langle \Psi_q^i | H | \Psi_q^i \rangle / \langle \Psi_q^i | \Psi_q^i \rangle$, with respect to them. Due to the 1D character of these excitations, for a given q , the number of CDE is given by $qL_y/2\pi$. In figure 1 it is plotted the energy of the CDE versus the wavevector q for two different values of W . The CDE spectrum consists of a continuous band of energy, which increases in size with q , and some lower energy branches. The continuum of energies corresponds basically to electron-hole excitations and the low energy branches to collective excitations. Using the HF wavefunction as the ground state, our method of calculation of the CDE energies is equivalent to the time-dependent HF method, and we can classify the different terms contributing to the energy of the CDE as: (a) a term corresponding to the confinement potential, (b) a term coming from the self-energies difference between the excited electron and the level from which the electron is removed, (c) a depolarization term coming from terms in the Hamiltonian where the electron and hole annihilate each other at one point in space and one electron-hole pair is created simultaneously at another point, and (d) an excitonic term which is just the direct Coulomb interaction of the excited electron and hole. At small q the dispersion of the collective excitations has the form $\hbar\omega_i(q) = (A_i - \frac{1}{\pi} \ln q)q$, where the coefficients A_i depend only on the confinement potential and the $q \ln q$ term corresponds to the classical dispersion of edge magnetoplasmons [8,9] and comes from the depolarization term. It is important to note that at small q there is an exact cancellation between the contributions (b) and (c) to the energies of the CDE, in such a way that the expected classical result, coming from the depolarization term, is obtained.

The lowest energy CDE always shows a deep minimum at $q \sim W$. This minimum is due to the coupling between the two edges of the system and it becomes a soft mode when W

is smaller than 4 magnetic lengths. The existence of this soft mode is an indication of the importance that quantum fluctuations have on this system when W is small. It is necessary to go beyond mean field approximation in order to describe the properties of the edge states. The idea is to write an effective Hamiltonian, H_{eff} , for describing the low-energy excitations of the system, i.e. the edge excitations. It is not possible to write an H_{eff} as a function of the CDE previously obtained because they are not independent between them. However, due to the 1D character of the edge excitations they can be generated by repeated application of the density operators on the ground state. [10] This is strictly true for the Luttinger model [10–12], and for physical systems it is based on the assumption that the following commutation relation are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_1(q), \rho_1(-q')] &= q \frac{L_y}{2\pi} \delta_{q,q'} \\ [\rho_2(q), \rho_2(-q')] &= -q \frac{L_y}{2\pi} \delta_{q,q'} \\ [\rho_1(q), \rho_2(q')] &= 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with $\rho_1(q) = \sum_{k>0} : c_{k+q}^+ c_k :$ and $\rho_2(q) = \sum_{k<0} : c_{k+q}^+ c_k :$. Here, the colons represent normal ordering of the creation and annihilation operators. For $q > 0$, the operator $\rho_1(q)$ ($\rho_2(-q)$) acting on the groundstate, creates an excitation on the right (left) edge of the bar. Although expressions (4) are not strictly satisfied in our system, its average in the HF ground state is satisfied for $q < W$, and errors in using equations (4) are proportional to q , therefore we expect that using commutation relation(4) is a good approximation for small q .

Using the operators ρ_1 and ρ_2 as the generators of the low energy excitations in the system (edge excitations), the effective Hamiltonian can be written, in the harmonic approximation, as

$$H_{eff} = \sum_{q>0} \frac{2\pi}{qL_y} (\hbar\omega(q) [\rho_1(-q)\rho_1(q) + \rho_2(q)\rho_2(-q)] + V(q) [\rho_1(-q)\rho_2(q) + \rho_2(-q)\rho_1(q)]) \quad , \tag{5}$$

with

$$\hbar\omega(q) = \langle \Psi_{HF} | \rho_1(-q) H \rho_1(q) | \Psi_{HF} \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$V(q) = \langle \Psi_{HF} | H \rho_1(-q) \rho_2(q) | \Psi_{HF} \rangle . \quad (6)$$

Two terms contribute to $V(q)$, a depolarization and a excitonic term. We find, that due to the short range of the exchange interaction, for W larger than 2 magnetic lengths the excitonic term is practically zero and the depolarization term get its classical value $V(q) = \frac{q}{\pi} K_0(qW)$. The value of $\omega(q)$ is practically equal to the lower energy CDE $\omega_1(q)$ for wavevectors $q < 1$ and $W > 2$.

The Hamiltonian (5) can be solved using a Bogoliubov transformation [13] and the elementary excitations have energy $E_q = \sqrt{\hbar^2 \omega_q^2 - V_q^2}$. Due to the coupling between the edges, at small wavevectors ($q \ll W^{-1}$) E_q disperses as a 1D plasmon: $E_q \sim q \sqrt{|\ln q|}$. These excitations can still be classified as left and right movers, but now a mode propagating on an edge is dragged by the charge in the other edge. [14] From the solution of the effective Hamiltonian (5) it is possible to obtain [12] the left and right one electron creation operators, and from them to compute different correlation functions. The two Fermi wavevector (W) CDW correlation function can be written as follows

$$\langle \rho(y) \rho(0) \rangle_W = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi \alpha} \cos(Wy) \exp \left[2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\alpha q}}{q} \frac{\hbar \omega(q) - V(q)}{E_q} (\cos qy - 1) \right] . \quad (7)$$

We find that for large values of y , $\langle \rho(y) \rho(0) \rangle_W$ decays as $e^{-const(\ln y \ln W)^{1/2}}$, i.e. slower than any power law. The slow decay with y of this CDW correlation function indicates an incipient CDW ground state with wavevector W , in which the charge density waves in the left and right edges are out of phase. This result is very similar to the one obtained by Schulz [15] for the case of an 1D electron gas with long range Coulomb interaction. However, in our case, due to the spatial separation between the outgoing and ingoing edges, the period of the oscillations in the density density correlation function, is related with the inverse of the lineal density of charge in the bar ($\sim W$), and not with the average edge interparticle spacing ($\sim \ell$).

Using the bosonization method we also calculate the single particle Green function, which for large values of y decays as $e^{-const(\ln y)^{3/2}/(\ln W)^{1/2}}$, i.e. much faster than any power law. [15] From the single particle Green function we compute the momentum distribution function

$\langle n_k \rangle$ for different values of W . In figure 2 we plot $\langle n_k \rangle$ versus k for two values of W . Due to the fast decay of the one particle Green function, $\langle n_k \rangle$ and all its derivatives are continuous at the Fermi wavevector of the system $W/2$. Nevertheless, we can see in figure 2 that the absolute value of the derivative of $\langle n_k \rangle$ at $W/2$ increases very quickly with W . Therefore for large values of W , although the system does not have a Fermi surface, $\langle n_k \rangle$ changes from 1 to 0 very abruptly at $W/2$.

From the retarded current current correlation function we have also studied the conductivity of the system, which in this case has the form,

$$\sigma(q, \omega) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{2e^2}{h} \frac{\omega_q - V_q/\hbar}{q} \frac{i(\omega + i\delta)}{(\omega + i\delta)^2 - (E_q/\hbar)^2} . \quad (8)$$

From the conductivity it is possible to calculate the conductance,

$$G = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \frac{\omega_q - V_q/\hbar}{q} \delta(E_q/\hbar) , \quad (9)$$

which is zero for the case of an infinite system. This result is a consequence of the quasi long range order existing in the system. Only in the $W \rightarrow \infty$ case the conductance in the system corresponds to the case of an isolated edge, e^2/h . For a better understanding of this result we calculate the momentum-integrated spectral density function,

$$A(\omega) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \exp \left[- \int_0^{\infty} dp \frac{e^{-\alpha p}}{p} \frac{\hbar\omega(p)}{E_p} (1 - e^{-iE_p t}) \right] , \quad (10)$$

which satisfies the numerically more convenient integral equation

$$A(\omega)\omega = \int_0^{p_c} dp \frac{\omega(p)}{p} A(\omega - E_p) , \quad (11)$$

with p_c verifying $E_{p_c} = \hbar\omega$. For small frequencies $A(\omega) \sim e^{-const(-\ln(\omega))^{3/2}/(\ln W)^{1/2}}$. In a Luttinger liquid with conductance $G^{lutt} = g \frac{e^2}{h}$, the spectral density of states varies as $A^{lutt}(\omega) = \omega^{(g+1/g)/2-1}$, therefore as smaller is the conductivity bigger is the power in the spectral density. [16,17] In our case the density of states increases with ω faster than any power law of ω , and it is in agreement with the fact that the conductance is zero. On the contrary, in the case of not coupling between edges ($V(q) = 0$), the calculation reduces to

the HF approximation for isolated edges, and the density of states vanishes at the Fermi energy, increasing with the frequency as $(-\ln(\omega))^{-1}$, i.e. slower than any power law, being therefore the conductance e^2/h .

Since the conductance goes to zero as $1/(-\ln q)^{1/2}$ it is convenient a estimation of the conductance in a large but finite system. In figure 3 we plot, for different values of W , the quantity

$$K(q, W) = \frac{\omega_q - V_q/\hbar}{q} \left(\frac{\partial E_q}{\hbar \partial q} \right)^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

as a function of $(qW)^{-1}$. For large values of L_y/W , the conductance is $G \sim \frac{e^2}{h} K(\frac{2\pi}{L_y}, W)$, and from figure 3 we see that for realistic large values of L_y , the conductance is different from zero. Also we see in figure 3 that, due to the long range of the Coulomb interaction, $G < e^2/h$ even for very large values of W .

We should stress here that the conductance we compute corresponds to the two terminal conductance and not to the Hall conductance. In fact, if the density of states at the Fermi energy is not singular, the Hall conductance has the value e^2/h [18], independently of the coupling between the edges. Thus the Hall transport does not provide information on the edge interactions. We propose that the two-terminal conductance could give us information on the interaction between electrons in different edges. Of course, experimentally the measure of the two-terminal conductance can be influenced by the ohmic constact resistance, and it could be difficult to separate the correction to the conductance due to edge coupling from the one due to contacts.

In summary, we have studied the effect that the Colulomb interaction has on the properties of the integer QHE edge states, of a Hall bar as a function of its thickness W . We find that the system is characterized by a quasi-long range order, similar to a CDW. The spectral density of states vanishes at the Fermi energy and increases with ω slower than any power law, and therefore the two terminal conductance of a infinite system should be zero. However we estimate that for large but finite system the values of the conductance depend on the thickness and length of the Hall bar, and is not quantized.

We are thankful to G.Gómez Santos, F.Guinea, A.H.MacDonald, C.Tejedor and I.Zapata for discussions on the subject and to L.Martin-Moreno for a critical reading of the manuscript. This work has been supported in part by CICyT of Spain under contract No. MAT94-0982. L.B. was supported by a NATO fellowship during part of the realization of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] *The Quantum Hall Effect*, 2nd ed., edited by R.E.Prange and S.M.Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990); *The Fractional Quantum Hall Effect*, T.Chakraborty and P.Pietilainen, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988).
- [2] B.I.Halperin, Phys.Rev.B **25**, 2185 (1982); M.Büttiker, Phys.Rev.B **38**, 9375 (1988).
- [3] C.W.J. Beenakker, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64**, 216 (1990); A.H.MacDonald, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64**, 220 (1990); L. Brey, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 11861 (1994).
- [4] X.G. Wen, Phys.Rev. B **41**, 12838 (1990).
- [5] M.Stone, Ann. Phys. **207**, 38 (1991).
- [6] C.deC.Chamon *et al*, Phys.Rev.B **49**, 8227 (1994).
- [7] J.H.Oaknin *et al*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **74**, 5120 (1995).
- [8] V.A.Volkov *et al* , JETP Lett. **44**, 665 (1986).
- [9] M. Wassermeier *et al*, Phys.Rev. B **41** 10287 (1990).
- [10] F.D.M.Haldane, J.Phys.C, **14**, 2585 (1981).
- [11] J.M.Luttinger, J.Math.Phys. **15**, 609 (1963).
- [12] *Many Body Physics*, G.F.Mahan (Plenum, NY, 1981).
- [13] D.C.Mattis *et al*, J.Math.Phys. N.Y. **6** 304 (1965).
- [14] D.Orgad *et el* preprint.
- [15] H.J.Schulz, Phys.Rev.Lett. **71**, 1864 (1993).
- [16] W.Apel and T.M.Rice, Phys.Rev. B **26**, 7063 (1982).
- [17] C.L.Kane *et al* Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 1220, (1992).
- [18] Y.Oreg *et al* Phys.Rev.Lett.**74**, 3668 (1995).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Dispersion relation, obtained in the time dependent Hartree-Fock approximation, of the lowest energy charge density excitations for (a) $W = 10\ell$ and (b) $W = 2\ell$.

FIG. 2. Variation as a function of ω of the absolute value of the derivate of the momentum distribution function, $\langle n_k \rangle$ evaluated at the Fermi wavevector $W/2$. In the inset of the figure it is plotted $\langle n_k \rangle$ as a function of k for two different values of W .

FIG. 3. Variation of the quantity $K(q, \omega)$, see text, as a function of qW , for different values of W .